



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,544	08/05/2003	Robert F. Burkholder	PTG 02-82-2	6044
23531	7590	03/08/2006		
SUITER WEST SWANTZ PC LLO 14301 FNB PARKWAY SUITE 220 OMAHA, NE 68154			EXAMINER	BALSIS, SHAY L
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/634,544	BURKHOLDER ET AL.	
	Examiner Shay L. Balsis	Art Unit 1744	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 January 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,4-13,15,17-24,26-31 and 33-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,4-13,15,17-24,26-31 and 33-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

**Claims 1, 6-9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 31, 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over James (USPN 3138815) in view of Quach (USPN 6748619).**

James teaches a cleaner comprising a rotating hand held cleaning head assembly (10) for engaging a surface and directing water onto the surface (claims 1 and 31). The cleaning head comprises a splash guard (12) (claim 6). There is an electric motor drive assembly (34) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide a driving force to the head (claims 1, 8, 31 and 34). A flexible drive cable transmission assembly (24) couples the hand held cleaning head assembly and the drive assembly (claims 1, 9, 31 and 35). There is a water attachment hose assembly (50) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide water to the head (claims 1, 7 and 31). The water is provided from a water source such as a storage container (48) (claims 1 and 11). The motor provides the water from the water source to the cleaning head (claims 13 and 35). The motor is coupled to a transport assembly such as a dolly transport assembly (36) (claims 1 and 15). The wheeled transport assembly comprises a hollowed area that could be used for necessary storage of the water source or the motor (claim 17). There is a hanger (40) coupled to the transport assembly, wherein the transmission assembly is capable of being coiled and hung on the hanger for storage (claims 1 and 31). James teaches all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fails to teach that the cleaning head assembly includes a handle coupled to the cleaning head, wherein the hand held cleaning head further includes an adjustment assembly for

allowing manual, angular adjustment of the cleaning head relative to the handle. Quach teaches a hand held cleaning assembly comprising a handle and an adjustment assembly (col. 1, lines 55-57 and col. 3, lines 55-58). The cleaning assembly of Quach comprises a fluid supply line (60) and a drive line (26) for rotating a brush (40). The brush further comprises a splash guard (55). It would have been obvious to replace the cleaning head of James with the cleaning head of Quach since Quach teaches using a cleaning head with all the same elements of James but Quach teaches using an adjustable head. Both references are analogous art since they both teach cleaning devices with rotating hand held head assemblies. The adjustable head will allow the user to adjust the axial orientation of the brush assembly based on the job (col. 3, lines 55-58).

**Claims 1, 4, 7-9, 11, 13, 15, 17 and 31, 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor (USPN 4825496) in view of Sanchez (USPN 4856133) and further in view of Farina (USPN 6598262).**

Taylor teaches a cleaner comprising a rotating hand held cleaning head assembly (59, 66, 83) for engaging a surface and directing water onto the surface (claims 1, 4 and 31). The cleaning head comprises a handle (57) coupled with the head (claims 2 and 32). The cleaning head is a right angle cleaning head (claims 3 and 32). There is an electric motor drive assembly (37) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide a driving force to the head (claims 1, 8, 31, and 34). A flexible drive cable transmission assembly (61) couples the hand held cleaning head assembly and the drive assembly (claims 1, 9, 31 and 35). There is a water attachment hose assembly (65) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide water to the head (claims 1, 7 and 31). The water is provided from a water source such as a storage container (32) (claims 1 and 11). The motor provides the water from the water source to the cleaning head (claims 13

and 35). The motor is coupled to a transport assembly such as a dolly transport assembly (11, 12) (claims 1 and 15). The wheeled transport assembly comprises a hollowed area (22) that could be used for necessary storage (claim 17). Taylor teaches all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fails to teach a hanger coupled with the transport assembly and an adjustable cleaning head with respect to the handle.

Sanchez teaches a cleaning head and handle wherein the cleaning head is adjustable with respect to the handle by means of a swivel mechanism (110). Farina teaches a high pressure cleaner comprising a pressure line (46) and electrical cord (44). The cords are connected to a transport assembly (figure 3). The transport assembly comprises a hanging means (48) for storing the pressure line and the electrical cord when not in use.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the cleaning head of Taylor so that it is adjustable as taught by Sanchez so that the cleaning head can be adapted to be used in a plurality of angular positions depending on the job without preventing the fluid from flowing through the cleaning head (col. 10, lines 45-57) and additionally it would have been obvious to modify the handle of Taylor to add a hook means as taught by Farina so that the transmission assembly can be coiled and stored when not in use.

**Claims 1, 7-9, 11, 15, 17 and 31, 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cutler (USPN 4825496) in view of Sanchez (USPN 4856133) and further in view of Farina (USPN 6598262).**

Cutler teaches a cleaner comprising a hand held cleaning head assembly (48) for engaging a surface and directing water onto the surface (claims 1 and 31). The cleaning head comprises a handle coupled with the head (claims 2 and 32). The cleaning head is a right angle

cleaning head (claims 3 and 32). There is an electric motor drive assembly coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide a driving force to the head (claims 1, 8, 31 and 34). A flexible drive cable transmission assembly (72) couples the hand held cleaning head assembly and the drive assembly (claims 1, 9, 31 and 35). There is a water attachment hose assembly (62, 64) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide water to the head (claims 1, 7 and 31). The water is provided from a water source such as a storage container (34) (claims 1 and 11). The motor provides the water from the water source to the cleaning head (claims 13 and 35). The cleaner assembly is coupled to a transport assembly such as a dolly transport assembly (12) (claims 1 and 15). The wheeled transport assembly comprises a hollowed area (30) that could be used for necessary storage (claim 17). Cutler teaches all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fails to teach a hanger coupled with the transport assembly and an adjustable cleaning head with respect to the handle.

Sanchez teaches a cleaning head and handle wherein the cleaning head is adjustable with respect to the handle by means of a swivel mechanism (110). Farina teaches a high pressure cleaner comprising a pressure line (46) and electrical cord (44). The cords are connected to a transport assembly (figure 3). The transport assembly comprises a hanging means (48) for storing the pressure line and the electrical cord when not in use.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the cleaning head of Taylor so that it is adjustable as taught by Sanchez so that the cleaning head can be adapted to be used in a plurality of angular positions depending on the job without preventing the fluid from flowing through the cleaning head (col. 10, lines 45-57) and additionally it would have been obvious to modify the handle of Cutler to add a hook means

as taught by Farina so that the transmission assembly can be coiled and stored when not in use (Cutler, figure 2).

**Claims 18-19, 21-22, 24, 26-27, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over James, Jr. in view of Quach (USPN 6748619) in view of Farina (USPN 6598262) and further in view of Walker et al. (USPN 5156191).**

James teaches a cleaner comprising a rotating hand held cleaning head assembly (10) for engaging a surface and directing water onto the surface (claims 18 and 19). The cleaning head comprises a handle (14) coupled with the head (claim 18). The cleaning head is a right angle cleaning head with a splash guard (12) (claims 18 and 21). There is an electric motor drive assembly (34) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide a driving force to the head (claim 18). A flexible drive cable transmission assembly (24) couples the hand held cleaning head assembly and the drive assembly (claims 18 and 24). There is a water attachment hose assembly (50) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide water to the head (claims 18 and 29). The water is provided from a water source such as a storage container (48) (claims 18 and 26). The motor provides the water from the water source to the cleaning head (claims 18 and 22). The motor is coupled to a transport assembly such as a dolly transport assembly (36) (claims 18 and 26). The wheeled transport assembly comprises a hollowed area that could be used for necessary storage of the water source or the motor (claim 30). James teaches all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fails to teach an adjustable cleaning head with respect to the handle. James also fails to teach a hanger coupled with the transport assembly and a strain reliever on the flex drive cable.

Quach teaches a hand held cleaning assembly comprising a handle and an adjustment assembly (col. 1, lines 55-57 and col. 3, lines 55-58). The cleaning assembly of Quach comprises a fluid supply line (60) and a drive line (26) for rotating a brush (40). The brush further comprises a splash guard (55). It would have been obvious to replace the cleaning head of James with the cleaning head of Quach since Quach teaches using a cleaning head with all the same elements of James but Quach teaches using an adjustable head. Both references are analogous art since they both teach cleaning devices with rotating hand held head assemblies. The adjustable head will allow the user to adjust the axial orientation of the brush assembly based on the job (col. 3, lines 55-58).

Farina teaches a high pressure cleaner comprising a pressure line (46) and electrical cord (44). The cords are connected to a transport assembly (figure 3). The transport assembly comprises a hanging means (48) for storing the pressure line and the electrical cord when not in use. Walker teaches a hose assembly comprises a strain reliever assembly. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the handle of James in view of Quach to add a hook means as taught by Farina so that the transmission assembly can be coiled and stored when not in use and additionally, it would have been obvious to use a strain reliever assembly as taught by Walker on James in view of Quach so that bending of the cable is minimized.

**Claims 18-19, 22, 26-27, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor (USPN 4825496) in view of Sanchez (USPN 4856133), in view of Farina (USPN 6598262) and further in view of Walker et al. (USPN 5156191).**

Taylor teaches a cleaner comprising a rotating hand held cleaning head assembly (59, 66, 83) for engaging a surface and directing water onto the surface (claims 18 and 19). The cleaning head comprises a handle (57) coupled with the head (claim 18). The cleaning head is a right angle cleaning head (claim 18). There is an electric motor drive assembly (37) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide a driving force to the head (claim 18). A flexible drive cable transmission assembly (61) couples the hand held cleaning head assembly and the drive assembly (claim 18). There is a water attachment hose assembly (65) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide water to the head (claims 18 and 29). The water is provided from a water source such as a storage container (32) (claims 18 and 27). The motor provides the water from the water source to the cleaning head (claims 18 and 22). The motor is coupled to a transport assembly such as a dolly transport assembly (11, 12) (claims 18 and 26). The wheeled transport assembly comprises a hollowed area (22) that could be used for necessary storage (claim 30). Taylor teaches all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fails to teach an adjustable head assembly as well as a hanger coupled with the transport assembly and a strain reliever on the flex drive cable.

Sanchez teaches a cleaning head and handle wherein the cleaning head is adjustable with respect to the handle by means of a swivel mechanism (110). Farina teaches a high pressure cleaner comprising a pressure line (46) and electrical cord (44). The cords are connected to a transport assembly (figure 3). The transport assembly comprises a hanging means (48) for storing the pressure line and the electrical cord when not in use. Walker teaches a hose assembly comprises a strain reliever assembly.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the handle of Taylor so that it is adjustable as taught by Sanchez so that the cleaning head can be adapted to be used in a plurality of angular positions depending on the job without preventing the fluid from flowing through the cleaning head (col. 10, lines 45-57) and it would have been obvious to modify the handle of Taylor to add a hook means as taught by Farina so that the transmission assembly can be coiled and stored when not in use and additionally, it would have been obvious to use a strain reliever assembly as taught by Walker on Taylor so that bending of the cable is minimized.

**Claims 18, 22, 24, 26-27, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cutler (USPN 4825496) in view of Sanchez (USPN 4856133) in view of Farina (USPN 6598262) and further in view of Walker et al. (USPN 5156191).**

Cutler teaches a cleaner comprising a hand held cleaning head assembly (48) for engaging a surface and directing water onto the surface (claim 18). The cleaning head comprises a handle coupled with the head (claim 18). The cleaning head is a right angle cleaning head (claim 18). There is an electric motor drive assembly coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide a driving force to the head (18). A flexible drive cable transmission assembly (72) couples the hand held cleaning head assembly and the drive assembly (claims 18 and 24). There is a water attachment hose assembly (62, 64) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide water to the head (claim 18). The water is provided from a water source such as a storage container (34) (claims 18 and 27). The motor provides the water from the water source to the cleaning head (claims 18 and 22). The cleaner assembly is coupled to a transport assembly such as a dolly transport assembly (12) (claims 18 and 26). The wheeled transport

assembly comprises a hollowed area (30) that could be used for necessary storage (claim 30).

Cutler teaches all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fails to teach an adjustable head assembly as well a hanger coupled with the transport assembly and a strain reliever on the flex drive cable.

Sanchez teaches a cleaning head and handle wherein the cleaning head is adjustable with respect to the handle by means of a swivel mechanism (110). Farina teaches a high pressure cleaner comprising a pressure line (46) and electrical cord (44). The cords are connected to a transport assembly (figure 3). The transport assembly comprises a hanging means (48) for storing the pressure line and the electrical cord when not in use. Walker teaches a hose assembly comprises a strain reliever assembly.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the handle of Cutler so that it is adjustable as taught by Sanchez so that the cleaning head can be adapted to be used in a plurality of angular positions depending on the job without preventing the fluid from flowing through the cleaning head (col. 10, lines 45-57) and it would have been obvious to modify the handle of Cutler to add a hook means as taught by Farina so that the transmission assembly can be coiled and stored when not in use and additionally, it would have been obvious to use a strain reliever assembly as taught by Walker on Cutler so that bending of the cable is minimized.

**Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over James in view of Quach and Farina or Taylor in view of Sanchez and Farina as applied to claim 1 above and both further in view of Cramer (USPN 689464).**

James in view of Quach and Farina or Taylor in view of Sanchez and Farina teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fail to teach that the rotating cleaning head is an orbital rotating cleaning head. Cramer teaches a brush comprising an orbital rotating cleaning head. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the cleaning head of James in view of Quach and Farina or Taylor in view of Sanchez and Farina with the orbital cleaning head of Cramer so as to increase the versatility of the cleaning apparatus.

**Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Sanchez and Farina or Cutler in view of Sanchez and Farina as applied to claim 1 above, both further in view of James.**

Taylor in view of Sanchez and Farina or Cutler in view of Sanchez and Farina teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however the references fail to teach a splash guard. James teaches a rotating brush head that comprises a splash guard. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a splash guard on all the brush heads so as to avoid splashing of water or cleaning solution when in use and to avoid water or cleaning solution in the users face or on their clothes or other places that the water or cleaning solution was not intended to touch.

**Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Sanchez and Farina or Cutler in view of Sanchez and Farina or James in view of Quach and Farina as applied to claim 9 above, all further in view of Walker et al. (USPN 5156191).**

Taylor in view of Sanchez and Farina or Cutler in view of Sanchez and Farina or James in view of Quach and Farina teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however the references fail to teach that the flex drive cable includes a strain reliever assembly. Walker teaches a hose assembly comprises a strain reliever assembly. It would have been obvious to use a strain reliever assembly as taught by Walker on Taylor in view of Sanchez and Farina or Cutler in view of Sanchez and Farina or James in view of Quach and Farina's drive cable so that bending of the cable is minimized.

**Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Sanchez and Farina or Cutler in view of Sanchez and Farina or James in view of Quach and Farina.**

Taylor in view of Sanchez and Farina or Cutler in view of Sanchez and Farina or James in view of Quach and Farina teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however the references fail to teach that the water source comprises a plurality of water storage containers. The references all teach one water storage container. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a plurality of water storage containers so that the containers don't have to be refilled as often. Additionally, duplicating a part for a multiple effect is an examiner of a modification that has been considered to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art to follow. *124 USPQ 378, 380 (CCPA 1960)*.

**Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Sanchez, Farina and Walker or James in view of Quach, Farina and Walker, as applied to claim 18 above and both further in view of Cramer (USPN 689464).**

Taylor in view of Sanchez, Farina and Walker or James in view of Quach, Farina and Walker teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fail to teach that the rotating cleaning head is an orbital rotating cleaning head. Cramer teaches a brush comprising an orbital rotating cleaning head. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the cleaning head of Taylor in view of Sanchez, Farina and Walker or James in view of Quach, Farina and Walker with the orbital cleaning head of Cramer so as to increase the versatility of the cleaning apparatus.

**Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Sanchez, Farina and Walker or Cutler in view of Sanchez, Farina and Walker or James in view of Quach, Farina and Walker.**

Taylor in view of Sanchez, Farina and Walker or Cutler in view of Sanchez, Farina and Walker or James in view of Quach, Farina and Walker teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however the references fail to teach that the electric motor is either a generator assembly or a pneumatic motor assembly. A generator or pneumatic motor are equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two types of electric motors were art-recognized equivalents at the time of the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the motors of Taylor in view of Farina and Walker, Cutler in view of Farina and Walker or James in view of Farina and Walker for a generator assembly or a pneumatic motor assembly.

**Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Sanchez, Farina and Walker or Cutler in view of Sanchez, Farina and Walker or James in view of Quach, Farina and Walker.**

Taylor in view of Sanchez, Farina and Walker or Cutler in view of Sanchez, Farina and Walker or James in view of Quach, Farina and Walker teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however the references fail to teach that the water source comprises a plurality of water storage containers. The references all teach one water storage container. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a plurality of water storage containers so that the containers don't have to be refilled as often. Additionally, duplicating a part for a multiple effect is an examiner of a modification that has been considered to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art to follow. *124 USPQ 378, 380 (CCPA 1960)*.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-13, 15, 17-24, 26-31, 33-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant added limitation of an adjustable cleaning head required further search and consideration. New rejections were made based on these amended limitations.

***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shay L. Balsis whose telephone number is 571-272-1268. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 M-Th, alternating F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*shayl*

Slb  
2/28/06

*Gladys J.P. Corcoran*  
GLADYS J.P. CORCORAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER